Diet and asthma in Dutch school children (ISAAC-2)
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Abstract

Background

The rise in the prevalence of asthma in western societies may be related to changed dietary habits. Epidemiological studies in children have shown inverse associations of asthma related outcomes with intake of fruits, vegetables, dairy and whole grain products, and fish. In contrast to most previous studies, we used both questionnaire and clinical data to define asthma.

Methods

Intake of the abovementioned foods was studied in relation to asthma in 598 Dutch children aged 8–13 years. Dietary intake was estimated using a parent completed semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire. Current wheeze and current asthma were defined based on questionnaire data. More complex end points were defined using information on bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) and atopic sensitisation as well. Linear associations were studied using logistic regression analysis and odds ratios presented for the highest versus the lowest tertile of intake. In the final models, adjustments were made for maternal educational level, foreign descent, and total energy intake.

Results

The intake of whole grain products and of fish was inversely associated with asthma. Adjusted odds ratios for the independent associations with whole grains and fish were 0.46 (95% CI 0.19 to 1.10) and 0.34 (95% CI 0.13 to 0.85) for current asthma and 0.28 (95% CI 0.08 to 0.99) and 0.12 (95% CI 0.02 to 0.66) for atopic asthma with BHR. Similar results were observed for current wheeze and atopic wheeze with BHR. Intake of (citrus) fruits, vegetables, and dairy products showed no clear associations with asthma end points.

Conclusions

Our findings suggest that a high intake of whole grain products and fish may have a protective effect against asthma in children.
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It has been postulated that the rise in the prevalence of asthma in western societies may be related to changed...
dietary habits since the 1950s—specifically, decreased intake of fruits, vegetables and fish. Another factor that may be important is that, as a result of recommendations to substitute saturated fatty acids with n-6 fatty acids to reduce serum cholesterol concentrations, the current western diet is rich in n-6 fatty acids. The biological mechanisms by which these dietary changes may affect asthma all seem to include airway inflammation.

Antioxidants are thought to reduce airway inflammation by protecting the airways against oxidants from both endogenous (activated inflammatory cells) and exogenous (for example, cigarette smoke) sources. Fruits, vegetables, and whole grain products are rich in antioxidant vitamins (vitamin C, vitamin E, β-carotene) and other substances with antioxidant capacity (including phenolic acids and phytic acid in whole grains). Fish oils are rich sources of n-3 fatty acids. Both n-6 and n-3 fatty acids are precursors for the production of pro-inflammatory mediators called eicosanoids, including prostaglandins and leukotrienes. Increasing the intake of n-3 fatty acids shifts the balance towards eicosanoids derived from n-3 fatty acids, which are thought to be biologically less active. As a result, the present western diet may promote airway inflammation, a major characteristic of asthma.

Epidemiological studies in children have shown inverse associations with asthma related outcomes for (citrus) fruits, vegetables, whole grain products, and fish in relation to asthma in 598 Dutch children aged 8–13 years, also considering independent and combined effects of foods associated with asthma. The main asthma end points studied were current wheeze and current asthma based on questionnaire data. Information from tests on bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) and of sensitisation to common allergens (skin prick testing) available for these children allowed us to define more complex asthma end points based on both questionnaire and clinical data (atopic wheeze with BHR and atopic asthma with BHR).

**Methods**

**Study population**

As part of the International Study on Allergy and Asthma in Childhood 2 (ISAAC-2), during the period 1997–8 the effect of living close to freeways on respiratory health was studied in Dutch children aged 7–13 years. Parents of all children were asked to complete the ISAAC questionnaire on respiratory and allergic symptoms, completed with questions on pets and passive smoking. Children aged 8–13 years were invited to participate in tests of BHR and of sensitisation to common allergens (skin prick testing). In addition, a dietary questionnaire was sent to the parents of all children who participated in the BHR testing.

Adequate dietary information was collected from 812 children aged 8–13 years. Information on atopic sensitisation from skin prick tests and on BHR, as well as questionnaire data used to define asthma end points (see data analysis), was available for 609 of these children. An additional 11 children had missing values on one or more potential confounders considered in the final analysis. The final study population therefore consisted of 598 children.

Medical ethical approval was obtained from the ethical board of Wageningen University, the Netherlands. Parents gave written informed consent for each test separately.

**Diet**

Dietary intake was estimated using a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) developed and validated for the Dutch EPIC (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition) cohort of adults. The EPIC FFQ contained questions on the absolute frequency of consumption of 79 main food items (possible answers: times per day/week/month/year or never) and, for several food items, additional questions on the relative consumption frequency of sub-items (possible answers: always or mostly/often/sometimes/seldom or never). For 21 main foods the questionnaire contained colour photographs of 2–4 differently sized portions (possible answers: one of the amounts shown, less than the smallest, or more than the largest amount shown). From the information obtained, the habitual consumption of 178 food items during the previous year could be estimated. Energy intake
was calculated using the 1996 Dutch food composition table.22

Parents were asked to fill in the EPIC FFQ for their child participating in ISAAC-2. Standard procedures for cleaning and processing of the data, as developed for the Dutch adult cohort, were evaluated for use in children. Since in children portion sizes may depend on both sex and age, we imputed not the sex-specific mean value but the age-specific (8–9, 10–11, 12–13 years) and sex-specific mean value of the respondents if the portion size of a food was missing (n = 0–39 of 598 for different foods). If a cross instead of a number was placed in one of the four boxes (per day/week/month/year) of questions into absolute food consumption frequencies, we imputed the average number responded into that box. If this average was based on less than five children, the lowest possible number ( = 1) was imputed.

Fruit intake did not include consumption of fruit juices. Vegetable intake did not include consumption of potatoes, legumes, and vegetable juices. Intake of “dairy other than low fat” was defined as intake of dairy products with a total fat content of 1.5 g or more per 100 g product, and intake of whole grain products as intake of wholemeal bread and of unrefined grains (for example, brown rice).

**Asthma**

The ISAAC questionnaire provided information on respiratory symptoms, current use of asthma medication, and a large range of potential confounders.23 Current wheeze was defined as wheeze in the past 12 months and ever asthma as a positive response to the question “Did your child ever have asthma?” Children were defined as having current asthma if they fulfilled at least one of the following three criteria: (1) ever asthma in combination with current wheeze; (2) ever asthma in combination with current use of a bronchodilator (β agonists or anticholinergics); (3) current use of specific asthma medication (corticosteroids, cromoglycate, nedocromil, or xanthines). Atopic wheeze with BHR was defined as current wheeze in combination with both atopy and BHR. Atopic asthma with BHR was defined as current asthma in combination with both atopy and BHR.

BHR tests were performed according to the ISAAC protocol.24-25 Children with a baseline forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) of <75% predicted were excluded from BHR testing. Parents were asked to stop their children's use of salbutamol 6 hours before the test and that of antihistamines 48 hours before the test. The challenge protocol consisted of inhalation of a hypertonic (4.5%) saline aerosol for 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 minutes. After each inhalation step, two reproducible measurements of FEV₁ were achieved of which the higher was selected. The test was stopped after completing all inhalation steps or when a fall in FEV₁ of more than 15% of baseline was observed. A positive test (BHR) was defined as a fall in FEV₁ of ≥15% after inhalation of maximal 23 ml hypertonic saline. All lung function tests were performed according to ERS guidelines.26

Skin prick tests for atopic sensitisation were performed according to the standardised ISAAC-2 protocol.27 Standardised allergen extracts and controls were provided by the ALK Company (Horsholm, Denmark). Allergens tested were Dermatophagoides farinae, D pteronyssinus, cat, dog, Alternaria tenuis, mixed tree pollen, and mixed grass pollen. The test was performed on the volar side of the left forearm. A mean weal diameter of 3 mm or more was regarded as a positive reaction.28

**Data analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS statistical package version 8.02 (Cary, USA). To estimate the (adjusted) prevalence of the studied asthma end points for levels of categorised dietary variables, PROC GLM (option LSMEANS) was used with the asthma outcome (yes/no) as independent variable. Since this method may give invalid SE values at low estimated prevalences, logistic regression analysis was used to test for differences between categories (using dummy variables).

Logistic regression analysis was used to study linear associations between dietary variables and asthma end points. The odds ratios (ORs) presented were calculated by multiplying the estimated regression coefficient (β) by the difference between the mean intake of the studied food in the third versus the first tertile of intake (DIFF in either g/day or natural log (g/day): \( OR = \exp(\beta \times DIFF) \)). To evaluate the independent effects of foods associated with asthma, the dietary variables studied were entered simultaneously as independent variables in a single logistic regression model. To study the combined effect of whole grain products and fish, the prevalences of current wheeze and current asthma were compared between children with an intake of both foods in the highest
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food specific tertile and children with an intake of both foods in the lowest food specific tertile.

In the final analyses, adjustments were made for educational level of the mother, foreign descent, and total energy intake. Preliminary analyses showed no confounding effects of age, sex, number of siblings, ever asthma in siblings, ever asthma in parents, smoking of the mother during pregnancy, being breastfed, passive smoking, the presence of pets in the home, or body mass index. p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant (two sided tests).

Results

The characteristics of the study population (n = 598) are shown in table 1. The mean age of the children included in the study was 10 years and the children were almost equally distributed according to sex. Of the 53 children with current wheeze (prevalence 8.9%), 37 were atopic and both atopy and bronchial hyperreactivity were observed in 24. In the 39 children with current asthma (prevalence 6.5%), 30 were atopic and both atopy and bronchial hyperreactivity were observed in 19. The mean daily intake was relatively high for fruits (171 g) and dairy products (644 g), while fish intake was low with a mean intake of only 7 g daily (table 1).

Table 1  Characteristics of study population (ISAAC-2: n = 598)

Table 2 shows the mean intake and crude prevalence of current wheeze and current asthma for tertiles of intake of the studied foods. A high intake of whole grain products and of fish was inversely associated with the prevalence of both outcomes. The observed prevalences were 2–3 times lower in the highest tertile than in the lowest tertile of intake. Furthermore, for current asthma, a borderline significant (p<0.10) difference in prevalence between the highest and lowest tertiles of intake of citrus fruits and vegetables was observed (table 2).

Table 2  Mean intake and crude prevalence of current wheeze and current asthma per tertile of intake of selected dietary factors in children (ISAAC-2)

Linear associations of intake of citrus fruits, vegetables, whole grain products and fish with asthma end points are shown in table 3. Food intake was entered as a continuous variable into the logistic model and ORs are presented for the difference in intake (in g/day) between the highest and lowest tertile of intake (for mean food intake per tertile, see table 2). In the univariate analyses, fish intake showed an inverse linear association with both end points. A trend towards an inverse linear association (p<0.10) was observed for intake of citrus fruits with current asthma and for intake of whole grain products with both end points. After adjustment for potential confounders, fish intake remained inversely associated with both current wheeze and current asthma, and a trend towards an inverse association with both end points could still be observed for whole grain intake (table 3). Smoothing techniques showed that the curve of the association with fish intake was fairly linear for both end points, while the strongest decline in the prevalence of both end points was observed at whole grain intakes higher than 150 g/day.

Table 3  Linear association of intake of selected foods with current wheeze and current asthma in children (ISAAC-2)

When the intakes of whole grain products and of fish were studied simultaneously in a single model, the associations of the foods with either end point were largely independent of each other (table 3). Associations of the two foods with current asthma remained essentially unchanged after additional adjustment for intake of citrus fruits and vegetables. To gain some insight into the combined effect of whole grain and fish intake, children with a high intake (that is, in the highest tertile) of both foods were compared with those with a low intake (that is, in the lowest tertile) of both foods (table 4). The crude prevalence of current wheeze was observed to be 19.4% in
children with a low intake of both foods compared with 4.2% in children with a high intake of both foods. For current asthma the crude prevalences were 16.7 and 2.8%, respectively. These results may be compared with those in table 2 to evaluate additivity (see Discussion). The association of the combined intake of whole grain products and fish with the two end points remained essentially unchanged after adjustment for potential confounders (table 4).

Table 4  Combined intake of whole grain products and fish in relation to current wheeze and current asthma in children (ISAAC-2)

The analyses in table 3 were repeated for the end points atopic wheeze with BHR and atopic asthma with BHR (for definitions see Methods section). Similar results to those for current wheeze and current asthma were observed. The adjusted ORs for the independent association with atopic wheeze with BHR was 0.31 (95% CI 0.10 to 0.95) for whole grain intake and 0.15 (95% CI 0.03 to 0.63) for fish intake. For the independent association with atopic asthma with BHR, the resulting adjusted ORs were 0.28 (95% CI 0.08 to 0.99) for whole grain intake and 0.12 (95% CI 0.02 to 0.66) for fish intake.

Finally, the independent associations of the two foods with atopy and with BHR were studied. The adjusted ORs for the association with atopy were 1.42 (95% CI 0.89 to 2.24) for whole grains and 1.28 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.83) for fish. For BHR the adjusted ORs were 1.48 (95% CI 1.01 to 2.16) for whole grains and 0.87 (95% CI 0.58 to 1.32) for fish. No association with atopy or BHR was observed for the other foods studied.

Discussion

We observed an inverse association of the intake of whole grain products and of fish with current wheeze and current asthma in children. Intake of these foods was furthermore inversely associated with more complex asthma end points based on both questionnaire and clinical data (atopic wheeze with BHR and atopic asthma with BHR). The associations of whole grain and fish intake with these asthma end points were largely independent of each other, and indications for additivity were observed. No clear associations with asthma were observed for intake of (citrus) fruits, vegetables, or dairy products.

A number of epidemiological studies have been published on fish intake and asthma in children with conflicting results. Some studies have reported potential protective effects of fish for asthma symptoms, BHR alone or in combination with wheeze, and atopic disease. However, in other studies no association was observed with asthma symptoms, recent asthma, lung function, atopy, or atopic disease. In one study, ever asthma confirmed by a physician was observed to be positively associated with fish intake. Also, within studies, seemingly conflicting results have been reported. Hodge et al. for instance, observed a potential protective effect for oily fresh fish but not for non-oily fresh fish or for canned or processed fish.

Fish oils—or, more specifically, the n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid—are thought to have anti-inflammatory effects through their effect on arachidonic acid metabolism. Despite the plausible biological mechanism, an important concern is the low level of fish intake at which potential effects are observed. For instance, the high fish intake group consumed any (versus no) fish in the study by Hodge et al. and consumed fish more than once a week in the study by Peat et al. In one study, children in the highest tertile of fish intake consumed on average 15 g of fish daily. It has been questioned whether fish intake at this level can have a substantial physiological effect on inflammatory processes in the airways. In placebo controlled trials in asthma patients, supplementation with high doses of fish oils has been observed to have inhibitory effects on neutrophils. However, in asthma other inflammatory cells such as eosinophils and mast cells seem to play a more predominant role. The fact is that, in the abovementioned trials, no clinical benefit of fish oil supplementation was observed.

Our findings regarding whole grain intake are in line with those reported by Wijga et al. and suggest a protective effect against asthma in children. COPD in adults has also been observed to be inversely associated with whole grain intake. Whole grains are rich in antioxidant compounds (including vitamin E, phenolic acid and phytic acid), and a high intake of whole grain products may therefore help to protect the airways against oxidant
damage.

Inverse associations with asthma related outcomes in children have been reported for (citrus) fruits and vegetables. Foods also rich in antioxidant compounds. No association between vegetable intake and asthma related outcomes in children was found in one study. Most published epidemiological studies found no association between fruit intake and asthma. In our study, no association was found between total fruit intake and the studied outcomes (including atopy and BHR individually). The associations with studied outcomes were also not statistically significant for intake of citrus fruits or vegetables. The results regarding current asthma, however, do suggest a potential inverse association with both foods.

In contrast to a number of epidemiological studies, we did not observe an inverse association between the intake of dairy products (total or other than low fat products) and asthma. The intake of these foods can be seen as a marker for intake of saturated fatty acids. Data on fatty acid intake were not available, and different types of fatty acids (n-6, n-3, and saturated fatty acids) could therefore not be studied in relation to asthma.

The associations of intake of whole grain products and fish with asthma were largely independent of each other. The fact that the observed difference in the prevalence of current wheeze and of current asthma was smaller in children with a high versus low intake of either food (table 2) than in children with a high versus low intake of both foods (table 4) suggests that the effects of the two foods may be (partly) additive. Our attempts to study this aspect were limited by the fact that the size of the study population did not allow for more detailed stratification.

When intake of the selected foods was studied in relation to atopy and to BHR, a positive association between whole grain intake and BHR was observed. Furthermore, atopy tended to be positively associated with the intake of both whole grain products and fish. To our knowledge, similar findings have not been reported previously. It needs to be established whether these are chance findings or whether they can be confirmed in other epidemiological studies.

A high intake of whole grain products and of fish may reflect a more healthy diet and even a more healthy lifestyle in general. However, we observed no clear confounding effects of other health related lifestyle factors on the observed associations of whole grain and fish intake with asthma outcomes. Adjustment for intake of fruits or vegetables, generally considered to be healthy, did not cause a significant change in these associations (results not shown). The same was observed when adjusting for an indicator of socioeconomic status of the family (educational level of the mother), which is generally considered to be associated with a healthy lifestyle. Furthermore, in preliminary analyses, no strong confounding effects were observed for smoking by the mother during pregnancy, being breastfed, passive smoking, or body mass index. However, since both healthy lifestyle and socioeconomic status are complex concepts, residual confounding cannot be excluded.

A validated questionnaire for assessing dietary intake in children is and was, to the best of our knowledge, not available in the Netherlands. We used the EPIC FFQ, validated in Dutch adults, and asked parents to complete this questionnaire with regard to their child's diet. This allowed us to study the habitual intake, being the exposure of interest in relation to disease, of a broad range of dietary factors. The answer categories of all questions on relative or absolute frequencies of food consumption and portion sizes encompassed the whole range of intake. Potentially relatively small (frequencies of) intakes in children could therefore be entered without problem. FFQs are widely used in epidemiological research and have been shown to be reasonably valid for ranking individuals according to food group, as was also observed for the EPIC FFQ in adults. However, since the EPIC FFQ was not validated for use in children, our results have to be interpreted with additional caution. In most studies on diet and asthma in children published to date, non-validated questions and questionnaires have been used to assess dietary intake. The development and validation of dietary questionnaires for children seems to be an important area for future research.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that a high intake of whole grain products and fish may have a protective effect against asthma in children. Based on our study, causal inferences cannot be made. Prospective studies in which dietary factors (fish and whole grain products, but preferably also fruits and vegetables) and asthma are monitored and trials into the effect of dietary modifications on, for example, airway inflammation are needed to clarify this issue.
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